HIGHLIGHTS OF CLASS ACTION EXPERIENCE FOR THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.

<u>Highlights of Pending Cases in which MLF is a Lead Counsel</u>

In re AIG 2008 Securities Litigation
(United States District Court, Southern Dis-

(United States District Court, Southern District of New York) (Case No. 08-cv-04772) (Co-Lead)

Holder et. al. v. Enbridge et. al

(United States District Court, Western District of Michigan) (Case No. 10-cv-751) (Interim Co-Lead)

In re Refrigerant Compressors Antitrust Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan) (Case No. 09-md-02042) (Interim Co-Lead)

In Re Onstar Contract Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan (Case No. 07-1867) (Interim Co-Lead)

In Re Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. Securities Litigation (United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan) (Case No. 2:09-cv-12830) (Co-Lead Counsel)

The Board of Trustees of the City of Birmingham Employees et. al. v. Comerica Bank et. al.

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan) (Case No. 2:09-13201) (Co-Lead Counsel)

Highlights of Results

2010 <u>In Re Kinder Morgan, Inc. Shareholders Litigation</u>

(District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas)

(Case No. 06-C-801) (Counsel for Lead Plaintiff)

Result: \$200,000,000 settlement

2010 Epstein, et al v. Heartland Industrial Partners, L.P., et al

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan)

(Case No. 2:06-CV-13555) (Substantial role)

Result: \$12,262,500 settlement

2009 <u>In Re Proquest Company Securities Litigation</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan)

(Case No. 4:06-CV-11579) (Substantial role; argued Motion to Dismiss)

Result: \$20,000,000 settlement

2009 In Re Collins & Aikman Corporation Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No. 03-CV-71173) (Substantial role)

Result: \$10,800,000 settlement

2009 In re IT Group Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania)

(Civil Action No. 03-288) (Co-Lead)

Result: \$3,400,000 settlement

2008 <u>In Re General Motors Corporation Securities and Derivative Litigation</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan)

(Master Case No. 06-MD-1749) (Co-Lead)

Status: Obtained major corporate governance reforms to address

accounting deficiencies

2008 In re Mercury Interactive Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Northern District of California)

(Civil Action No. 03:05-CV-3395-JF) (Substantial role)

Result: \$117,000,000 settlement

2007 Wong v T-Mobile USA, Inc.

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan)

(Case No. 05-CV-73922) (Co-Lead)

Result: Settlement for 100% of damages.

2007 <u>In re CMS Energy Corporation Securities Litigation</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Master File No. 2:02 CV 72004) (Substantial role)

Result: \$200,000,000 settlement

2005 In re Comerica Securities Fraud Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan)

(Case No. 2:02-CV-60233) (Substantial role)

Result: \$21,000,000, divided between related cases at \$15,000,000 and \$6,000,000

2005 <u>Street v Siemens</u>

(Philadelphia State Court) (Case No. 03-885) (Co-Lead)

Result: \$14,400,000, including 100% recovery for more than 1,000 workers wrongfully deprived of pay.

2005 Redmer v Tournament Players Club of Michigan

(Wayne County Circuit Court) (Case No. 02-224481-CK) (Co-Lead)

Result: \$3,100,000 settlement

2004 Passucci v Airtouch Communications, Inc.

(Wayne County Circuit Court) (Case No. 01-131048-CP) (Co-Lead)

Result: Airtouch agreed to give free phone cards up to two hours in length to more than 2 million Michigan customers and to allow customers who have contracts providing for 8.2 cent land change to break the contract without penalty and to switch to a new plan without the charge. Estimated value of settlement: \$30,900,000 to \$40,300,000.

2004 <u>Johnson</u> v <u>National Western Life Insurance</u>

(Oakland County Circuit Court)

(Case No. 01-032012-CP) (Substantial role)

Result: \$10,700,000 settlement on behalf of nation-wide class of purchasers of annuities.

2003 <u>Felts</u> v <u>Starlight</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No. 01-71539) (Co-Lead)

Result: Starlight agrees to stop selling ephedrine as an ingredient in its weight loss dietary supplement product.

2003 In re Lason Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No. 99-CV-76079) (Co-Lead)

Result: \$12,680,000 settlement

2001 Mario Gasperoni, et al v Metabolife International, Inc.

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No. 00-71255) (Co-Lead)

Result: Nationwide settlement approved mandating changes in advertising and labeling on millions of bottles of dietary supplement, plus approximately \$8,500,000 in benefits, including at least \$4,900,000 in research grants.

1999 Pop v Art Van Furniture and Alexander Hamilton Insurance Company (Wayne County Circuit Court) (Case No. 97-722003-CP) (Co-Lead)

Result: Changes in sales practices and \$9,000,000 in merchandise.

1999 <u>Schroff</u> v <u>Bombardier</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan) (Case No. 99-70327) (Co-Lead)

Result: Recall of more than 20,000 defective Seadoos throughout North America; repair of defect to reduce water ingestion problem; extended warranties; and approximately \$4,000,000 in merchandise.

1999 <u>In re National Techteam Securities Litigation</u>

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Master File No. 97-74587) (Substantial role)

Result: \$11,000,000 settlement

1999 In Re F&M Distributors, Inc., Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No. 95-CV-71778-DT) (Minor role)

Result: \$20,000,000 settlement

1998 In Re Michigan National Corporation Securities Litigation

(United States District Court, Eastern District Michigan)

(Case No 95 CV 70647 DT) (Substantial role)

Result: \$13,300,000 settlement

1995 <u>In re Intel Pentium Processor Litigation</u>

(Superior Court, Santa Clara County, California)(Master File No. 745729)

(Substantial role)

Result: Intel agreed to replace millions of defective Pentium chips on demand without any cost to consumers.